UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/661,650	10/661,650 09/15/2003 Yoichi Kanai		242873US2	9352		
	7590 12/15/200 AK, MCCLELLAND 1	EXAMINER				
1940 DUKE ST	REET	MILIA, MARK R				
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2625			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			12/15/2008	ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Communication		Application	pplication No. Applicant(s)						
		10/661,650		KANAI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Mark R. Milia		2625				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun Reply	ication appe	ears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHICH - Extensic after SIX - If NO pe - Failure t Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MORE OF THE MORE OF THE MORE OF THE MONTH OF THE MORE OF THE	IAILING DA of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. atutory period will will, by statute, c	TE OF THIS (a). In no event, Il apply and will excause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on 03 No	vember 200	8					
· <u></u>									
<i>′</i> —		<i>'—</i>			secution as to the	e merits is			
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositior	·			,					
·		nonding in t	the applicati	an.					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-6,12-15,21 and 22</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-11 and 16-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
·=	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· · ·	laim(s) <u>1-6,12-15,21 and 22</u> is/are	rejectea.							
•	laim(s) is/are objected to.	e u							
8)L C	laim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or	election req	uirement.					
Application	n Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)∐ Th	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)∏ accep	pted or b)□	objected to by the E	Examiner.				
A	pplicant may not request that any object	ction to the dr	rawing(s) be I	neld in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
R	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice o) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) 5) 6)	=	ite				

Application/Control Number: 10/661,650 Page 2

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/3/08 has been entered. Currently, claims 1-6, 12-15, and 21-22 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 12, 21, and 22 have been considered but are moot in view of the current amendment to the claims and therefore a new ground(s) of rejection will be made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 12-15, and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pensak (US 6,289,450) in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0191938 to Woods et al.

Regarding claim 1, Pensak discloses a document printing program encoded on a computer readable medium, comprising the codes of: obtaining a print requirement associated with a document file (see column 6 lines 18-60) and compulsory executing the print requirement when the document file is printed out (see column 6 lines 50-55 and column 8 lines 47-56), wherein the print requirement sets a print mode including at least one security requirement to be executed to a to-be-printed document (see column 6 lines 50-60 and column 8 lines 46-56, an authoring user creates options associated with a document that specify software functions to be enforced by an application interface, the document is then segmented and encrypted, a segment may also be the entire document, a viewing user may request the document, or segments thereof, and after the application interface decrypts a segment, the application interface enforces the option, such as printing with a watermark).

Pensak does not disclose expressly obtaining a password and the password is utilized to generate a key for encryption and decryption of the document file.

Woods discloses obtaining a password and the password is utilized to generate a key for encryption and decryption of the document file (see paragraphs 26, 57-58, and 65, reference states that the password is the basis of the key for encryption and decryption of a file).

Regarding claim 12, Pensak discloses a document protecting program encoded on a computer readable medium, comprising the codes, of: obtaining an encryption key used to encrypt a document file (see column 2 lines 10-28, column 4 lines 10-23 and 53-67, column 5 lines 59-65, column 6 lines 31-60, and column 7 lines 7-36), associating print requirement with the document file (see column 6 lines 18-60 and column 8 lines 47-56), and encrypting the document file by the encryption key (see column 2 lines 10-28, column 4 lines 10-23 and 53-67, column 5 lines 59-65, column 6 lines 31-60, and column 7 lines 7-36), wherein the print requirement sets a print mode including at least one security requirement to be executed to a to-be-printed document (see column 6 lines 50-60 and column 8 lines 46-56, an authoring user creates options associated with a document that specify software functions to be enforced by an application interface, the document is then segmented and encrypted, a segment may also be the entire document, a viewing user may request the document, or segments thereof, and after the application interface decrypts a segment, the application interface enforces the option, such as printing with a watermark).

Pensak does not disclose expressly obtaining a password and the password is utilized to generate a key for encryption and decryption of the document file.

Woods discloses obtaining a password and the password is utilized to generate a key for encryption and decryption of the document file (see paragraphs 26, 57-58, and 65, reference states that the password is the basis of the key for encryption and decryption of a file).

Regarding claim 21, Pensak discloses a document protecting system comprising: a distributor terminal implementing a document protecting program encoded on a computer readable medium comprising the codes of: a part obtaining an encryption key to encrypt a document file (see column 2 lines 10-28, column 4 lines 10-23 and 53-67, column 5 lines 59-65, column 6 lines 31-60, and column 7 lines 7-36), a part associating a print request to the document file (see column 6 lines 18-60 and column 8 lines 47-56), and a part encrypting the document file by the encryption key (see column 2 lines 10-28, column 4 lines 10-23 and 53-67, column 5 lines 59-65, column 6 lines 31-60, and column 7 lines 7-36), and a user terminal (see Figs. 1 and 2) implementing a document printing program comprising the codes of: a part obtaining a decryption key of document file being encrypted (see column 2 lines 33-38 and 44-57, column 3 lines 12-15 and 23-25, and column 8 lines 7-60), a part decrypting the document file based on the obtained decryption key (see column 2 lines 33-38 and 44-57, column 3 lines 12-15 and 23-25, and column 8 lines 7-60), a part obtaining a print requirement associated with the document file (see column 6 lines 18-60 and column 8 lines 47-56), and a part executing a printing process so as to satisfy the print requirement (see column 8 lines 35-56), wherein the print requirement sets a print mode including at least one security requirement to be executed to a to-be-printed document (see column 6 lines 50-60 and column 8 lines 46-56, an authoring user creates options associated with a document that specify software functions to be enforced by an application interface, the document is then segmented and encrypted, a segment may also be the entire document, a viewing user may request the document, or segments thereof, and after the application

Art Unit: 2625

interface decrypts a segment, the application interface enforces the option, such as printing with a watermark).

Pensak does not disclose expressly obtaining a password and the password is utilized to generate a key for encryption and decryption of the document file.

Woods discloses obtaining a password and the password is utilized to generate a key for encryption and decryption of the document file (see paragraphs 26, 57-58, and 65, reference states that the password is the basis of the key for encryption and decryption of a file).

Regarding claim 22, Pensak discloses a document protecting system comprising: a server implementing a document protecting program encoded on a computer readable medium comprising the codes of: obtaining an encryption key used to encrypt a document file (see column 2 lines 10-28, column 4 lines 10-23 and 53-67, column 5 lines 59-65, column 6 lines 31-60, and column 7 lines 7-36), associating a print requirement with the document file (see column 6 lines 18-60 and column 8 lines 47-56), and encrypting the document file by the encryption key (see column 2 lines 10-28, column 4 lines 10-23 and 53-67, column 5 lines 59-65, column 6 lines 31-60, and column 7 lines 7-36), and a user terminal (see Figs. 1 and 2) comprising the codes of: obtaining a decryption key of a document being encrypted (see column 2 lines 33-38 and 44-57, column 3 lines 12-15 and 23-25, and column 8 lines 7-60), obtaining a print requirement associated with the document (see column 6 lines 18-60 and column 8 lines 47-56), and executing a printing process so as to satisfy the obtained print requirement (see column 8 lines 35-56), wherein the print requirement sets a print mode

including at least one security requirement to be executed to a to-be-printed document (see column 6 lines 50-60 and column 8 lines 46-56, an authoring user creates options associated with a document that specify software functions to be enforced by an application interface, the document is then segmented and encrypted, a segment may also be the entire document, a viewing user may request the document, or segments thereof, and after the application interface decrypts a segment, the application interface enforces the option, such as printing with a watermark).

Pensak does not disclose expressly obtaining a password and the password is utilized to generate a key for encryption and decryption of the document file.

Woods discloses obtaining a password and the password is utilized to generate a key for encryption and decryption of the document file (see paragraphs 26, 57-58, and 65, reference states that the password is the basis of the key for encryption and decryption of a file).

Pensak & Woods are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, security of document files utilizing encryption.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine a password being the basis for generating a key used for encryption and decryption, as described by Woods, with the system of Pensak.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to increase the security of the document by using a password to generate a key for encryption and decryption.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Woods with Pensak to obtain the invention as specified in claims 1, 12, 21, and 22.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,650

Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claim 2, Pensak further discloses wherein the print requirement is compulsory enforced by executing a printing process with the print requirement when the document file being encoded is decrypted (see column 8 lines 35-56).

Page 8

Regarding claim 3, Pensak further discloses obtaining a decryption key for the document file being encrypted (see column 2 lines 33-38 and 44-57, column 3 lines 12-15 and 23-25, and column 8 lines 7-60), decrypting the document file based on the obtained decryption key (see column 2 lines 33-38 and 44-57, column 3 lines 12-15 and 23-25, and column 8 lines 7-60), obtaining the print requirement associated with the document file (see column 6 lines 50-55 and column 8 lines 47-56), and executing a printing process so as to satisfy the obtained print request (see column 6 lines 50-55 and column 8 lines 47-56).

Regarding claim 4, Pensak further discloses wherein the print requirement is obtained from the decrypted document file (see column 6 lines 50-55 and column 8 lines 47-56).

Regarding claim 5, Woods further discloses wherein the password corresponding to an encryption key used to encrypt the document file is obtained from a user, and a decryption key is generated by the password (see paragraphs 7, 26, and 65).

Regarding claims 6 and 15, Pensak further discloses wherein a parameter, which is internally maintained or generated, is used to generate the decryption key (see column 2 lines 44-57 and column 3 lines 11-25).

Regarding claim 13, Pensak further discloses wherein the document file and the print requirement are associated with each other by providing the print requirement to the document file and then encrypting the document file with the print requirement (see column 6 lines 31-60).

Regarding claim 14, Pensak further discloses wherein an encryption key is generated based on a password input by a user (see column 3 lines 62-65).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark R. Milia whose telephone number is (571)272-7408. The examiner can normally be reached M-F 8:00am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Moore can be reached at (571) 272-7437. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,650 Page 10

Art Unit: 2625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark R. Milia Examiner Art Unit 2625

/Mark R. Milia/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625

/David K Moore/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625